

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * *

AVRAM VINETO NIKA,

Petitioner,

v.

TIMOTHY FILSON, *et al.*,

Respondents.

Case No. 3:09-cv-00178-JCM-WGC

ORDER


In this capital habeas corpus action, the respondents were due to file their response to the petitioner's reply by September 13, 2018. See Order entered September 6, 2018 (ECF No. 179). Respondents filed the response to the reply about one hour late, just before 1:00 a.m. on September 14, 2018 (ECF No. 181). Respondents filed, with their response to reply, a motion for extension of time, seeking the Court's approval of the tardy filing (ECF No. 180).

In the motion for extension of time, Respondents' counsel states that he worked diligently to get the response to reply filed by the deadline but was unable to do so. The Court finds that Respondents have shown excusable neglect for the slightly late filing. See LR 26-4.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the respondents' Motion for Enlargement of Time (ECF No. 180) is **GRANTED**. Respondents' Response to Reply (ECF No. 181) will be treated as timely filed.

1 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the deadline for the petitioner to file a reply in
2 support of his motion for discovery (ECF No. 166) and a reply in support of his motion for
3 evidentiary hearing (ECF No. 168) – **October 4, 2018** (see Order entered September 6,
4 2018 (ECF No. 179)) – remains in effect.

5
6 DATED September 19, 2018.

7
8 
9 _____
10 JAMES C. MAHAN,
11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28